IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO
WRIT PETITION NO : 8839 of 2004

Between:
M/s. Lanco Infratech Ltd., a company incorporated under the provisions of the Companies Act, having its regd. Office situate at " LANCO HOUSE" 141, Avenue No.8 L.V. Prasad Marg, Banjara Hills Hyd., rep. by its Personnel Manager, Flat 101, Siva Sai Apartments Priya Colony, Mehidipatnam, Guddimalkapur,Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Govt of A.P., rep. by its Secretary, Irrigation & Comma. Area Development
Dept., Secretariat, Hyd.
2 Chief Engineer, Telugu Ganga Project, Sreekalahasthi, Chittoor Dist.
3 Superintending Engineer, SSLC & SB Circle, Nellore.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in disqualifying the petitioner in the technical bid in respect of the work relating to Tender Notice No.2/2004-2004 Dt. 14.4.2004 and also the action of the 2nd respondent in not passing orders with regard to the technical bid of the petitioner as being illegal, arbitrary, malafide and violative of terms of G.O. Ms. No.94 Dt. 1.7.2003 and also violative of Art. 14 and 19 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to qualify the petitioner in the technical bid and consequently open the price bid and evaluate the same along with tender in respect of the said work and also stay the finalization of the tender in respect of the said tender notification.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.VENUGOPAL

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR IRRIGATION & COMM AREA DEV.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Heard both sides.

It is represented by the Counsel on either side that having regard to the cancellation of the tenders in question, the very Writ Petition itself has become infructuous.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

B.PRAKASH RAO, J.

Dated 15-09-2004.
SKMR

To
1 The Secretary, Govt. of A.P., Irrigation & Command Area Development
Dept., Secretariat, Hyd.
2 Chief Engineer, Telugu Ganga Project, Sreekalahasthi, Chittoor Dist.
3 Superintending Engineer, SSLC & SB Circle, Nellore.
4. 2CCs to G.P. for Irrigation & Comma. Area Development, High Court of
A.P., Hyderabad.(OUT).
5. 2CD copies